



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/780,264

02/16/2004

Mario I. Wolczko

188073/US

2218

66083 7590 05/14/2009

DORSEY & Whitney LLP  
on behalf of Sun Microsystems, Inc.  
370 SEVENTEENTH ST.  
SUITE 4700  
DENVER, CO 80202-5647

EXAMINER

YIGDALL, MICHAEL J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2192

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/14/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/780,264	<b>Applicant(s)</b> WOLCZKO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Michael J. Yigdall	<b>Art Unit</b> 2192	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Michael J. Yigdall. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Robert M. Tuttle (Reg. No. 54,504). (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 11 May 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Chrysos (U.S. Patent No. 6,000,044), Kalafatis (U.S. Patent No. 7,448,025).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative and the examiner discussed the formal written reply to the last Office action filed on May 4, 2009. The examiner plans to fully consider the amendments and remarks presented in the reply.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Michael J. Yigdall/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2192
--	---